



DETERMINANT OF LONG-TERM CONTRACEPTIVE METHOD USE AMONG MARRIED WOMEN OF REPRODUCTIVE AGE: CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY IN URBAN AREA OF SOUTH SUMATRA

Haerawati Idris^{1*}, Indah Purnama Sari², Nining Ade Ningsih³

^{1,2}Faculty of Public Health, Sriwijaya University, Indralaya, Ogan Ilir, 30662, Indonesia

³Tamalatea College of Health Sciences, Makassar 90245, Indonesia

* Correspondence Author: haera@fkm.unsri.ac.id

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received : January 27, 2025

Accepted : April 11, 2025

Published: April 20, 2025

DOI:

<https://doi.org/10.26553/jikm.2025.16.1.104-117>

Available online at

<http://ejournal.fkm.unsri.ac.id/index.php/jikm>

ABSTRACT

The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) emphasize the integration of reproductive health into national strategies and programs, including universal access to sexual and reproductive health services such as Family Planning (FP). This study aims to examine the factors influencing the use of long-term contraceptive methods among married women of reproductive age in urban areas of South Sumatra. A quantitative approach with a cross-sectional design was employed, using secondary data from the 2017 Indonesian Demographic and Health Survey (IDHS). The sample consisted of 247 married women. Data were analyzed using logistic regression. In urban areas of South Sumatra, 13.8% of married women of reproductive age used long-term contraceptive methods. The use of these methods was significantly associated with the number of living children and employment status ($p < 0.05$). Among all variables, education was found to be the most strongly associated factor with the use of long-term contraceptive methods ($p = 0.04$; $PR = 4.238$, 95% $CI: 1.070-16.779$). Education plays a pivotal role in the use of long-term contraceptive methods. Enhancing knowledge and awareness about these methods significantly influences women's decisions to adopt them.

Keywords: Demographic Survey, Indonesian Health, Married Women, Long-term Contraceptive Method, South Sumatra.

Introduction

The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) are a global development agenda consisting of 17 goals and 169 targets, to be achieved by 2030. These goals aim to reduce inequality, end poverty, and protect the environment over a 15-year period, from 2016 to 2030 ⁽¹⁾. In the health sector, the SDGs include 38 targets, one of which is to ensure universal access to sexual and reproductive health services—such as Family Planning (FP), information and education—and the integration of reproductive health into national strategies and programs ⁽²⁾.

Maternal and child mortality, unintended pregnancies, sexually transmitted infections (including HIV), teenage pregnancies, and unsafe abortions can be reduced through family planning, as stated by the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA) ⁽³⁾. Additionally, the World Health Organization (WHO) states that family planning can be implemented through the use of contraceptive methods and infertility treatment ⁽⁴⁾. According to a 2019 United Nations (UN) report, there were 1.1 billion women of reproductive age worldwide in need of family planning. Among them, 842 million used modern contraceptive methods, while 80 million relied on traditional methods. However, 190 million women of reproductive age who wished to avoid pregnancy were still not using any form of contraception. This number increased from 156 million in 2000 ⁽⁵⁾.

Progress in meeting family planning needs through modern methods has continued. According to a UN report, the global proportion of women of reproductive age whose need for family planning is met by modern methods has increased from 67% in 1990 to 77% in recent years ⁽⁶⁾. This progress is due to the increase in the number of women needing family planning—from 0.7 billion in 1990 to 1.1 billion today. Globally, the most used modern contraceptive method is female sterilization. In 2019, 23.7%—or approximately 219 million women—underwent sterilization. Other widely used methods include male condoms (189 million users), intrauterine devices (IUDs) (159 million), and pills (151 million), each with over 100 million users. In total, 45.2% of contraceptive users rely on long-term or permanent methods (female and male sterilization, IUDs, implants), while 46.1% use short-term methods (such as male condoms, injections, pills, and other modern methods) ⁽⁷⁾.

Indonesia has shown a strong commitment to providing quality family planning and reproductive health services. The country is also one of 69 nations globally committed to reducing unmet needs for family planning ⁽⁸⁾. However, in contrast to the global trend, data from the Indonesian Demographic and Health Survey (IDHS) indicates that the use of modern contraceptives among married women declined from 57.9% in 2012 to 57.2% in 2017. Moreover, only 14% of married women used long-term contraceptive methods in 2017 (9,10). In terms of effectiveness, short-term contraceptive methods are less effective at preventing pregnancy compared to long-term methods ⁽¹¹⁾. Additionally, long-term contraceptive methods are part of the

key performance indicators in the National Population and Family Planning Board (BKKBN) strategic plan, which aims to increase family participation in family planning and reproductive health programs ⁽¹²⁾. According to a BKKBN report, the national achievement for long-term contraceptive use in 2022 was 22.6%, still significantly below the 2024 target of 28% ⁽¹³⁾.

South Sumatra is one of the provinces with low achievement in the use of long-term contraceptive methods. Data from the Indonesian Demographic and Health Survey (IDHS) shows that the utilization of modern contraception in South Sumatra is 61.4% among married women, with only 15.6% using long-term contraceptive methods (10). Previous research has identified various factors correlated with long-term contraceptive use^(14,15,16,17). In Indonesia, numerous studies have been conducted to explore the use of long-term contraceptives among women^(18,19,20,21). However, studies focusing on the use of long-term contraceptive methods among married women in South Sumatra remain limited. Therefore, it is crucial to conduct this study as the first step in increasing the utilization of long-term contraceptives in the province. The aim of this study was to examine the determinants influencing the use of long-term contraceptive methods among married women in South Sumatra, particularly in urban areas.

Methods

This study used data from the 2017 Indonesian Demographic and Health Survey (IDHS). The IDHS is a survey conducted jointly by the Central Statistics Agency (Indonesian Statistics), the National Family Planning Coordination Board (BKKBN), and the Ministry of Health of the Republic of Indonesia. The Indonesian government and the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) collaborated to provide funding for the survey. The research design employed a quantitative method with a cross-sectional design.

The IDHS team conducted interviews with all South Sumatran women aged 15 to 49 for this study. The sample consisted of 247 respondents who were selected based on inclusion criteria: married women who had used or not used contraception in the past five years and who lived in urban areas. Exclusion criteria included women who were infertile (e.g., menopause or hysterectomy), experienced infertility, or had missing data.

The independent factors in this study are the number of living children, age, education, employment status, ideal number of children, socioeconomic status, media exposure, health insurance ownership, and visits to health workers. Meanwhile, the use of long-term contraceptive methods is the dependent variable. The utilization of long-term contraceptive methods refers to the participation of married women in urban areas of South Sumatra in family planning programs using long-term modern contraceptives, including Women's Operative method of contraception (MOW), Intrauterine Devices (IUDs), and implants. The utilization of long-term contraceptive methods is categorized into two groups: the "yes" category, which includes married women who participated

in family planning programs using long-term modern contraceptives such as IUDs, MOW, and implants, and the "no" category, which includes married women who did not participate in family planning programs using these methods. Age refers to the respondent's age at the last birthday, as asked during the interview. It is divided into two categories: at risk (<20 years and >35 years) and not at risk (20 years – 35 years). The education level represents the highest formal education attained by the respondent, categorized into three groups: low (no school or elementary school graduates), middle (secondary school graduates), and higher (college graduates).

The number of children refers to the total number of children born and still alive at the time of the interview. This variable is divided into two categories: more than two children and fewer than two children. The ideal number of children is the number the respondent wanted when newly married and before having children. It is divided into three categories: 0 – 1 child, 2 – 3 children, and \geq four children. Women's employment status over the past year is categorized into two groups: employed and unemployed. Economic status refers to the respondent's economic standing, as indicated by their wealth quintile. It is divided into three categories: poor (quintiles 1-2), middle (quintile 3), and rich (quintiles 4-5). Exposure to mass media is defined as whether the respondent has seen broadcasts about family planning on television within the last 6 months before the interview. It is divided into two categories: exposed and not exposed. Insurance ownership refers to whether the respondent has health insurance, categorized into two groups: yes (has insurance) and no (does not have insurance). Visitation status by health officers refers to whether family planning field officers visited the respondent in the last 6 months. This is categorized into two groups: yes (visited) and no (not visited).

The data were analyzed using univariate analysis, bivariate analysis with the chi-square test, and multivariate analysis with logistic regression. Data analysis was conducted using SPSS for Windows.

Ethical approval

We used secondary data. Ethical clearance was obtained in the 2017 IDHS from the National Ethics Committee. Respondents provided written approval for their involvement in the study. We have obtained permission to use the data through the following website: <https://dhsprogram.com/data/new-user-registration.cfm>

Results

Secondary data from the IDHS in 2017 provided the basis for the study's findings. The following table lists the characteristics of the respondents:

Table 1. Characteristics of the Respondents (n=247)

| Variable | n | % |
|--|-----|------|
| Long-Term Contraceptive Method Use | | |
| Yes | 34 | 13.8 |
| No | 213 | 86.2 |
| Age | | |
| Risky (<20 years dan >35 years) | 136 | 55.1 |
| Not Risky (20-35 years) | 111 | 44.9 |
| Level of Education | | |
| Higher | 42 | 17.0 |
| Middle | 151 | 61.6 |
| Low | 54 | 21.9 |
| Number of Living Children | | |
| > 2 Children | 97 | 39.3 |
| ≤ 2 Children | 150 | 60.7 |
| Number of Children Ideal | | |
| 0-1 Children | 29 | 11.7 |
| 2-3 Children | 164 | 66.4 |
| ≥ 4 Children | 54 | 21.9 |
| Employment Status | | |
| Employed | 165 | 66.8 |
| Unemployed | 82 | 33.2 |
| Economic Status | | |
| Rich | 131 | 53.0 |
| Middle | 56 | 22.7 |
| Poor | 60 | 24.3 |
| Mass Media Exposure | | |
| Yes | 128 | 51.8 |
| No | 119 | 48.2 |
| Insurance Ownership | | |
| Yes | 192 | 77.7 |
| No | 55 | 22.3 |
| Visitation status by Health Officer | | |
| Yes | 9 | 3.6 |
| No | 238 | 96.4 |

As presented in Table 1, only 13.8% of respondents in urban areas of South Sumatra used long-term contraceptive methods. Additionally, 55.1% of respondents were aged either <20 years or >35 years, 61.6% had a middle level of education, and 60.7% had two or fewer living children. Furthermore, 66.4% of respondents reported that their ideal number of children was 2-3, 70% were employed, and 51.8% had a rich economic status. Regarding media exposure, 53% of respondents

reported exposure to popular culture (mass media), while 77.7% had health insurance. The majority (96.4%) of respondents had not been visited by a health officer within the past 6 months.

Table 2. Bivariate Analysis of Long-Term Contraceptive Method Use among Married Women in Urban Areas of South Sumatera

| Variable | | Long-Term Contraceptive Method Use | | | | | | PR (95% CI) | p-value |
|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|------|-----|------|-------|-----|------------------------|---------|
| | | Yes | | No | | Total | | | |
| | | n | % | n | % | n | % | | |
| Age | | | | | | | | | |
| Risky (<20 years and >35 years) | | 23 | 16.9 | 113 | 83.1 | 136 | 100 | 1.850 (0.859 - 3.985) | 0.161 |
| Not Risky (20-35 years) | | 11 | 9.9 | 100 | 90.1 | 111 | 100 | Ref | |
| Level of Education | | | | | | | | | |
| Higher | | 14 | 33.3 | 28 | 66.7 | 42 | 100 | 2.278 (0.667 - 7.779) | 0.189 |
| Middle | | 12 | 7.9 | 139 | 92.1 | 151 | 100 | 0.446 (0.150 - 1.327) | 0.147 |
| Low | | 8 | 14.8 | 46 | 85.2 | 54 | 100 | Ref | - |
| Number of Living Children | | | | | | | | | |
| >2 Children | | 21 | 21.6 | 76 | 78.4 | 97 | 100 | 2.912 (1.381 - 6.142) | 0.007* |
| ≤2 Children | | 13 | 8.7 | 137 | 91.3 | 150 | 100 | Ref | |
| Number of Living Ideal | | | | | | | | | |
| 0-1 Children | | 7 | 24.1 | 22 | 75.9 | 29 | 100 | 2.866 (0.800 - 10.267) | 0.106 |
| 2-3 Children | | 20 | 12.2 | 144 | 87.8 | 164 | 100 | 0.830 (0.298 - 2.311) | 0.722 |
| ≥ 4 Children | | 7 | 13.0 | 47 | 87.0 | 54 | 100 | Ref | - |
| Employment Status | | | | | | | | | |
| Employed | | 29 | 17.6 | 136 | 82.4 | 165 | 100 | 3.284 (1.221 - 8.832) | 0.023* |
| Unemployed | | 5 | 6.1 | 77 | 93.9 | 82 | 100 | Ref | |
| Economic Status | | | | | | | | | |
| Rich | | 27 | 20.6 | 104 | 79.4 | 131 | 100 | 1.906 (0.622 - 5.838) | 0.259 |
| Middle | | 1 | 1.8 | 55 | 98.2 | 56 | 100 | 0.161 (0.018 - 1.470) | 0.106 |
| Poor | | 6 | 10.0 | 54 | 90.0 | 60 | 100 | Ref | - |
| Mass Media Exposure | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | | 21 | 16.4 | 107 | 83.6 | 128 | 100 | 1.600 (0.762 - 3.361) | 0.287 |
| No | | 13 | 10.9 | 106 | 89.1 | 119 | 100 | Ref | |
| Insurance Ownership | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | | 29 | 15.1 | 163 | 84.9 | 192 | 100 | 1.779 (0.654 - 4.839) | 0.358 |
| No | | 5 | 9.1 | 50 | 90.9 | 55 | 100 | Ref | |
| Visitation status by Health Officer | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | | 2 | 22.2 | 7 | 77.8 | 9 | 100 | 1.839 (0.366 - 9.248) | 0.797 |
| No | | 32 | 13.4 | 206 | 86.6 | 238 | 100 | Ref | |

*Sig (p-value <0.05)

Ref: References (Comparison)

As shown in Table 2, the factors associated with the use of long-term contraceptive methods among married women in urban areas of South Sumatera are the number of living children

and employment status (p -value < 0.05). Other factors, such as education level, age, ideal number of children, economic status, media exposure, insurance ownership, and health officer visits, were not significantly related to the use of long-term contraceptive methods among married women in these urban areas (p -value > 0.05)

Table 3. Determinant of Long-Term Contraceptive Method Use among Married Women in Urban Areas of South Sumatera

| Variable | PR (95 % CI) | <i>P-value</i> |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| Level of Education | | |
| Higher | 4.238 (1.070 - 16.779) | 0.040* |
| Middle | 0.664 (0.215 - 2.049) | 0.477 |
| Low | Ref | - |
| Number of Living Children | | |
| >2 Children | 3.572 (1.330 - 9.593) | 0.012* |
| ≤2 Children | Ref | |
| Age | | |
| Risky (<20 years and >35 years) | 1.532 (0.591 - 3.974) | 0.380 |
| Not Risky (20-35 years) | Ref | |
| Number of Children Ideal | | |
| 0-1 Children | 3.436 (0.885 - 13.346) | 0.075 |
| 2-3 Children | 0.948 (0.317 - 2.838) | 0.925 |
| ≥ 4 Children | Ref | - |
| Employment Status | | |
| Employed | 2.984 (0.999 - 8.911) | 0.050 |
| Unemployed | Ref | |
| Economic Status | | |
| Rich | 1.949 (0.612 - 6.202) | 0.259 |
| Middle | 0.130 (0.012 - 1.413) | 0.094 |
| Poor | Ref | - |
| Insurance Ownership | | |
| Yes | 1.558 (0.483 - 5.026) | 0.458 |
| No | Ref | |

*Significant < 0.05 ; Ref: Reference

According to Table 3, education level is the most significant factor, as reflected by the highest Adjusted Prevalence Ratio (PR) of 4.238 (CI: 1.070–16.779). The results of the multivariate analysis suggest that education level has a significant impact on the use of long-term contraception. Specifically, respondents with higher education are 4.2 times more likely to use long-term contraceptive methods than those with only primary education, with a confidence interval ranging from 1.070 to 16.779.

Discussion

The aims of this study were to examine the determinants of the use of long-term contraceptive methods among married women in South Sumatra, particularly in urban areas. According to the findings of this study, married women living in urban areas of South Sumatra are most likely to use long-term contraception if they have a higher level of education. Respondents with a higher level of education are 4.2 times more likely to use long-term contraception than respondents with a primary education. These findings are consistent with the findings of a previous study that was conducted in sub-Saharan Africa and found that women with secondary or tertiary education are more likely than women without education to use long-term contraception ^(22,23). Similarly, a study conducted in Southeast Asia also stated that multiparous in the Philippines who were not educated had a higher chance of not using long-term contraception than women with higher education ⁽²⁴⁾. Moreover, research by Aduloju et al. found that women with higher education were 4.1 times more likely to use long-term contraceptives than those with lower education ⁽²⁵⁾. However, this contrasts with a study by Alemayehu et al., which reported no significant correlation between women's education level and long-term family planning use in Harar, Eastern Ethiopia ⁽²⁶⁾. Women with higher education may have a better understanding of the importance of long-term contraception for both their health and the well-being of their families, which could explain the observed correlation between education and contraceptive use ⁽²⁷⁾. Additionally, educated women are often more aware of the misconceptions and myths surrounding long-term contraceptive use ⁽²²⁾. Furthermore, education may empower women to better utilize family health services and make informed decisions about reproductive health, including family planning ⁽²⁸⁾.

Another factor influencing the utilization of long-term contraceptive methods is the number of living children. The results of this study indicate that respondents with more than two living children are 2.9 times more likely to use long-term contraceptive methods compared to those with two or fewer living children. This finding aligns with a study conducted in Sub-Saharan Africa, which showed that the likelihood of using long-term contraception is significantly higher among multiparous women, especially those with five or more children, compared to women without children ⁽²⁹⁾. Similarly, research by Arero et al. found that women with more than four living children are 2.6 times more likely to use long-term contraception than women with no children or only one child ⁽³⁰⁾. A study in Uganda also supports this, showing that as the number of children increases, the likelihood of using long-term contraception rises as well. Additionally, a study conducted in Kenya found that women with more than two children were 18 times more likely to choose long-term contraception compared to women without children. This trend may occur because women with higher parity have already achieved their fertility goals and therefore tend to favor long-term or permanent contraception due to their higher safety and cost-effectiveness in preventing unwanted pregnancies ⁽³³⁾. On the other hand, rumors or notions of infertility after

discontinuation of long-term contraception have become a significant concern for women with fewer children ⁽³⁴⁾, potentially influencing their contraceptive choices. However, it is important to note that there is no evidence of fertility issues after stopping long-term contraceptives (IUD or implant), and more than 70% of women in Indonesia become pregnant within one year of removing the IUD or implant ⁽³⁵⁾.

Employment status is also identified as a significant factor influencing the utilization of long-term contraceptive methods among married women. This study found that employed respondents were 3.2 times more likely to use long-term contraceptive methods compared to unemployed respondents. These findings are consistent with studies conducted in Ethiopia, which revealed a significant correlation between women's employment and the use of long-term or permanent contraceptive methods ^(36,37). Yalew et al. also reported that employment status is an important determinant, with working women being 3.87 times more likely than housewives to use long-term contraceptives ⁽³⁸⁾. This trend may be due to the preference among working multiparous women for practical and effective methods of contraception ⁽³⁹⁾. Previous studies have shown that the long-term contraceptive method is more effective in preventing pregnancy than other contraceptive methods ⁽⁴⁰⁾. On the other hand, long-term contraception is also considered more effective for working women to avoid forgetting to use contraception due to busyness at work ⁽²⁴⁾. This can also happen because working women may have better income as well as access to media and health services, which can positively influence the use of modern contraception ⁽⁴¹⁾, including in choosing the long-term contraceptive method.

The study has several limitations; first, the study employs a cross-sectional design, which limits the ability to establish causal relationships between variables. Moreover, potential biases, such as recall bias or social desirability bias from self-reported data, may influence the validity of the findings. Lastly, the study's context-specific nature means that its results may not be fully applicable to other regions or populations, necessitating further research to confirm its generalizability.

Conclusion

The factors associated with the utilization of long-term contraceptive methods among married women in urban areas of South Sumatra include the number of living children and employment status. Among these, education level emerged as the most dominant factor. Women with higher education were 4.2 times more likely to use long-term contraceptive methods compared to those with primary education, even after controlling for other variables such as number of living children, age, ideal number of children, economic status, and insurance ownership. The decision to adopt long-term contraceptive methods is strongly influenced by women's knowledge and understanding of these methods. Therefore, increasing awareness through accessible and reliable

sources—such as the internet, mass media, educational campaigns, counseling, and community seminars—can play a crucial role. Tailored educational programs should be implemented both within the community and via targeted digital platforms to enhance knowledge and empower women in making informed reproductive health decisions, particularly in the local sociocultural context.

Acknowledgment

This study used data from the Indonesia Demographic and Health Survey (IDHS), which was implemented by Statistics Indonesia (Badan Pusat Statistik – BPS) in collaboration with the National Population and Family Planning Board (BKKBN) and the Ministry of Health (Kementerian Kesehatan RI). The survey received technical assistance from The Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) Program, which is funded by the United States Agency for International Development (USAID). We gratefully acknowledge these institutions for providing access to the data.

Funding

The authors should state that they have no funding for the research

Conflict of Interest

The authors stated that there is no conflict of interest in this article

Reference

1. United Nation. Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda For Sustainable Development. 2016.
2. United Nation. UNDP Support too The Implementation Of Sustainable Development Goal 3: Ensure Healthy Lives And Promote Well-Being For All At All Age. 2017.
3. United Nations Population Fund. Family Planning & Health Factsheet: Sierra Leone. 2016.
4. World Health Organization (WHO). Family planning/contraception methods [internet]. 2020 [cited 2023 Aug 5]. <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/family-planning-contraception>
5. Booklet D. Family Planning and the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development (Data Booklet). Fam Plan 2030 Agenda Sustain Dev (Data Booklet). 2020.
6. United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs. World Family Planning 2022: Meeting the changing needs for family planning: Contraceptive use by age and method. United Nations. 2022.

7. United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs. Contraceptive Use by Method 2019. 2019.
8. Badan Kependudukan dan Keluarga Berencana Nasional (BKKBN). Indonesia Komitmen Wujudkan Pelayanan KB dan Kesehatan Reproduksi Berkualitas [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2023 Aug 5]. <https://www.bkkbn.go.id/berita-indonesia-komitmen-wujudkan-pelayanan-kb-dan-kesehatan-reproduksi-berkualitas>
9. Badan Kependudukan dan Keluarga Berencana Nasional (BKKBN), Badan Pusat Statistik (BPS), Kementerian Kesehatan Republik Indonesia. Survei Demografi dan Kesehatan Indonesia 2012. Jakarta: 2012.
10. Badan Kependudukan dan Keluarga Berencana Nasional (BKKBN), Badan Pusat Statistik (BPS), Kementerian Kesehatan Republik Indonesia. Survei Demografi dan Kesehatan Indonesia 2017. Jakarta; 2017.
11. Kementerian Kesehatan Republik Indonesia. Profil Kesehatan Indonesia 2020. 2021.
12. Badan Kependudukan dan Keluarga Berencana Nasional Republik Indonesia. Rencana Strategis BKKBN 2020-2024. 2020.
13. Badan Kependudukan dan Keluarga Berencana Nasional (BKKBN). BKKBN Gelar Pelayanan KB Serentak Seluruh Indonesia [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2023 Aug 5]. <https://www.bkkbn.go.id/berita-bkkbn-gelar-pelayanan-kb-serentak-seluruh-indonesia>
14. Asres AW, Tiruneh AA, Ferede AG, Hunegnaw WA. Determinants of long-acting reversible contraceptive use among women in Jawi woreda, North West Ethiopia: A case-control study. *SAGE Open Med*. 2022;10. <https://doi.org/10.1177/20503121221094658>
15. Gashaye KT, Tsegaye AT, Abebe SM, Woldetsadik MA, Ayele TA, Gashaw ZM. Determinants of long acting reversible contraception utilization in Northwest Ethiopia: An institution-based case control study. *PLoS One* [Internet]. 2020;15(10 October):1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0240816>
16. Tilahun A, Yoseph A, Dangisso MH. Utilization and predictors of long acting reversible contraceptive methods among reproductive age women in Hawassa city, South Ethiopia: a community based mixed methods. *Contracept Reprod Med*. 2020;5(1):1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40834-020-00112-x>
17. Negash WD, Belachew TB, Asmamaw DB. Long-acting reversible contraceptive utilization and its correlated factors among modern contraceptive users in high fertility sub-Saharan Africa countries: a multi-level analysis of recent demographic and health surveys. *Arch Public Heal*. 2022;80(1):4–11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13690-022-00977-1>
18. Veri N, Lajuna L, Alchalidi A, Dewita D, Chipojola R. The use of long-acting reversible contraceptives: a quasi-experimental study in Indonesia. *Br J Midwifery*. 2023;31(1). <https://doi.org/10.12968/bjom.2023.31.1.24>

19. Harzif AK, Mariana A, Malik DM, Silvia M, Lovita BT. Factors correlated with the utilization of long-acting reversible contraceptives among family planning clients at the Pameungpeuk rural hospital, Indonesia. *F1000Research*. 2019;7. <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.15755.1>
20. Kusumaningrum W, Damayanti R, Storey JD, Yelda F. Improving a long-acting reversible contraception usage by understanding client perspectives. *Med J Indones*. 2020;29(2):204–12. <https://doi.org/10.13181/mji.oa.203149>
21. Mahendra IGAA, Wilopo SA, Sukamdi, Putra IGNE. The role of decision-making pattern on the use of long-acting and permanent contraceptive methods among married women in Indonesia. *Eur J Contracept Reprod Heal Care*. 2019 Nov 2;24(6):480–6. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13625187.2019.1670345>
22. Bolarinwa OA, Nwagbara UI, Okyere J, Ahinkorah BO, Seidu AA, Ameyaw EK, et al. Prevalence and predictors of long-acting reversible contraceptive use among sexually active women in 26 sub-Saharan African countries. *Int Health*. 2022;14(5):492–500. <https://doi.org/10.1093/inthealth/ihab053>
23. Bolarinwa OA, Afaya A, Ajayi K V., Ojo A, Alawode OA. Prevalence and factors correlated with the use of long-acting reversible and permanent contraceptive methods among women who desire no more children in high fertility countries in sub-saharan Africa. *BMC Public Health*. 2022;22(1):1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-022-14575-x>
24. Laksono AD, Rohmah N, Megatsari H. Barriers for multiparous women to using long-term contraceptive method in Southeast Asia: case study in Philippines and Indonesia. *BMC Public Health*. 2022;22(1):1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-022-13844-z>
25. Aduloju OP, Akintayo AA, Adefisan AS, Aduloju T. Utilization of Long-Acting Reversible Contraceptive (LARC) Methods in a tertiary hospital in southwestern Nigeria: A Mixed Methods Study. *J Obstet Gynecol India*. 2021;71(2):173–80. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13224-020-01386-6>
26. Alemayehu A, Demissee A, Feleke D, Abdella M. Level and determinants of long-acting family planning utilization among reproductive age women in Harar, Eastern Ethiopia. *Women's Health*. 2021;17. <https://doi.org/10.1177/17455065211063279>
27. Habtamu A, Tesfa M, Kassahun M, Animen S. Determinants of long-acting contraceptive utilization among married women of reproductive age in Aneded district, Ethiopia: a case-control study. *BMC Res Notes*. 2019;12(1):433. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-019-4445-3>
28. Zenebe CB, Adefris M, Yenit MK, Gelaw YA. Factors associated with utilization of long-acting and permanent contraceptive methods among women who have decided not to have more children in Gondar city. *BMC Womens Health*. 2017;17(1):1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12905-017-0432-9>

29. Adedini SA, Omisakin OA, Somefun OD. Trends, patterns, and determinants of long-acting reversible methods of contraception among women in sub-Saharan Africa. *PLoS One*. 2019;14(6):1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0217574>
30. Arero WD, Teka WG, Hebo HJ, Woyo T, Amare B. Prevalence of long-acting reversible contraceptive methods utilization and correlated factors among counseled mothers in immediate postpartum period at Jimma University medical center, Ethiopia. *Contracept Reprod Med*. 2022;7(1):1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40834-022-00184-x>
31. Anguzu R, Sempeera H, Sekandi JN. High parity predicts use of long-acting reversible contraceptives in the extended postpartum period among women in rural Uganda. *Contracept Reprod Med*. 2018;3(1):1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40834-018-0059-8>
32. Kungu W, Khasakhala A, Agwanda A. Use of long-acting reversible contraception among adolescents and young women in Kenya. *PLoS One*. 2020;15(11 November):1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241506>
33. Gayatri M. The use of long-acting and permanent contraceptive methods (LAPMs) among women who have completed childbearing in Indonesia: does informed choice matter? *Eur J Contracept Reprod Heal Care*. 2022;27(1):28–33. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13625187.2021.2008347>
34. Melka AS, Tekelab T, Wirtu D. Determinants of long-acting and permanent contraceptive methods utilization among married women of reproductive age groups in western Ethiopia: A cross-sectional study. *Pan Afr Med J*. 2015;21:1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.contraception.2015.06.190>
35. Gayatri M, Utomo B, Budiharsana M. How Soon Can You Expect to Get Pregnant after Discontinuing Reversible Contraceptive Method? A Survival Analysis of the 2017 Indonesia Demographic and Health Survey Data. *Indian J Public Heal Res Dev*. 2020;11(1):310. <https://doi.org/10.37506/v11/i1/2020/ijphrd/193835>
36. Getahun DS, Wolde HF, Muchie KF, Yeshita HY. Utilization and determinants of long-term and permanent contraceptive methods among married reproductive age women at Janamora district, northwest Ethiopia. *BMC Res Notes*. 2018;11(1):1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-018-3942-0>
37. Fekadu GA, Omigbodun AO, Roberts OA, Yalew AW. Factors correlated with long acting and permanent contraceptive methods use in Ethiopia. *Contracept Reprod Med*. 2019;4(1):1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40834-019-0091-3>
38. Yalew SA, Zeleke BM, Teferra AS. Demand for long acting contraceptive methods and correlated factors among family planning service users, Northwest Ethiopia: A health facility based cross sectional study. *BMC Res Notes*. 2015;8(1):1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-015-0974-6>

39. Bahamondes L, Fernandes A, Monteiro I, Bahamondes MV. Long-acting reversible contraceptive (LARC) methods. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol*. 2020 Jul;66:28–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2019.12.002>
40. Allen S, Barlow E. Long-Acting Reversible Contraception: An Essential Guide for Pediatric Primary Care Providers. *Pediatr Clin North Am*. 2017 Apr;64(2):359–69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pcl.2016.11.014>
41. Gebre MN, Edossa ZK. Modern contraceptive utilization and correlated factors among reproductive-age women in Ethiopia: Evidence from 2016 Ethiopia demographic and health survey. *BMC Womens Health*. 2020;20(1):17–28. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12905-020-00923-9>